

1. There is one instituted IPR concerning U.S. Patent No. 7,075,917 (“the ’917 patent”): IPR2019-00973. The asserted claim of the ’917 patent is claim 10. The instituted IPR concerning the ’917 patent covers this asserted claim.
2. There is one instituted IPR concerning U.S. Patent No. 7,016,676 (“the ’676 patent”): IPR2019-01116. The asserted claims of the ’676 patent are claims 1, 2 and 8. The instituted IPR concerning the ’676 patent covers claims 1 and 2, which are two of the three asserted


independent claims. Four additional IPRs, some of which challenge claim 8, have been filed and are awaiting institution decisions.

The '917 patent and the '676 patent are the only asserted patents in the above-captioned case. (Dkt. No. 63 at 1.) Considering the joint and agreed nature of the Motion as between the Parties and the stipulations contained therein, the Court is of the opinion that the Motion should be and hereby is **GRANTED**.

It is **ORDERED** that the above-captioned action is **STAYED** until further order of the Court. It is further **ORDERED** that the Parties file a joint status report within ten (10) days of completion of any of these IPR proceedings for the two discreet patents-in-suit. The joint status report shall include attached to it as an exhibit a proposed docket control order for the Court's consideration. All other relief requested by the Parties not expressly granted is **DENIED**.

So Ordered this

Dec 31, 2019



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE